

SPECIAL ISSUE
Teach For All and Global Teacher Education Reform

education policy analysis
archives

A peer-reviewed, independent,
open access, multilingual journal



Arizona State University

Volume 23 Number 44

April 20th, 2015

ISSN 1068-2341

**Theorizing and Documenting the Spread of Teach For All
and its Impact on Global Education Reform**

Rolf Straubhaar

University of Georgia
United States



Daniel Friedrich

Teachers College, Columbia University
United States

Citation: Straubhaar, R., & Friedrich, D. (2015). Theorizing and documenting the spread of Teach For All and its impact on global education reform. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 23(44). <http://dx.doi.org/10.14507/epaa.v23.2055>. This article is part of the Special Issue on Teach For All and Global Teacher Education Reform of EPAA/AAPE, Guest Edited by Daniel Friedrich and Rolf Straubhaar.

Abstract: Within global education policy, the role of multilateral agencies in pushing cross-national policy borrowing is increasingly being complemented by efforts from private international networks within civil society, such as Teach For All. This introductory article summarizes the scarce extant literature on Teach For All, highlighting the contributions to this growing area of inquiry within this special issue. Especially provocative and fruitful lines of further inquiry surrounding Teach For All and similar policy networks are also highlighted and explored.

Keywords: Teach For All; Teach For America; education reform

Conceptualizando y Documentando la Diseminación de Teach For All y Su Impacto en la Reforma Global de la Educación

Resumen: En la esfera de la política educativa global, el rol de los organismos multilaterales está siendo complementado cada vez más por el trabajo de las redes privadas internacionales dentro de la sociedad civil, como lo es Teach For All. Este artículo introductorio resume la escasa literatura existente acerca de Teach For All, resaltando las contribuciones realizadas al campo por este número especial. También se subrayan y exploran las líneas de investigación más provocativas y productivas en torno a Teach For All y redes similares.

Palabras-clave: Teach For All, Teach For America, reforma educativa

Conceitualizando e Documentando a Propagação do Teach For All e Seu Impacto Sobre a Reforma Global da Educação

Resumo: No âmbito da reforma escolar global, o papel das agências multilaterais está sendo cada vez mais complementado por redes privadas internacionais dentro da sociedade civil, como Teach For All. Este artigo introdutório resume-se a literatura escassa existente sobre Teach For All, com um foco nas contribuições desta edição especial à esta área de pesquisa. Também resume-se as linhas mais provocantes e frutíferas de pesquisa futura sobre Teach For All e redes semelhantes.

Palavras-chave: Teach For All; Teach For America; reforma escolar

In the contemporary global educational landscape, teacher education is undergoing rapid shifts, with a particular focus on preparing teachers to demonstrate international competitiveness on high-stakes achievement tests (Waldow, Takayama, & Sung, 2014) driven by various global actors and discourses. One increasingly prominent actor in this dynamic is Teach For All, an organization with the mission to combat educational inequality through the development of national-level nonprofits throughout the world. Specifically, Teach For All serves as an umbrella network that provides strategic support to social entrepreneurs that work to implement in their own countries the education reform ideals and organizational model popularized by the U.S.-based nonprofit Teach For America (TFA). Within the TFA model, nonprofits aspire to reduce educational inequality in a given country by recruiting high-achieving graduates from prominent national colleges to teach in high-needs schools for two years, on the basis of the assumption that high-performing college graduates can drastically improve their students' performance and reduce the achievement gap between rich and poor.

In the U.S.-based literature on Teach For America, the effectiveness of this model in reaching these goals has been highly contested. Several prominent evaluative studies have produced relatively positive results on student test scores at both the elementary (Decker, Mayer, & Glazerman, 2004; Raymond, Fletcher, & Luque, 2001) and secondary levels (Clark et al., 2013), though other scholars have prominently contested these results (Heilig & Jez, 2010, 2014), at times with their own rigorous evaluations that have shown students of Teach For America teachers receive lower test scores than those taught by traditionally certified teachers (Darling-Hammond, 2009; Darling-Hammond, Holtzman, Gatlin, & Heilig, 2005).

It is precisely this contestation of the TFA model within its original national context that has made the rapid international spread of that model so fascinating. Since Teach For All's initial announcement at the 2007 Clinton Global Initiative, programs have been founded in more than 30 countries world-wide, including locations as diverse as Germany, India, Mexico, Japan, Estonia, Pakistan, Israel and Australia.

Due to the international prominence of the TFA model and brand, these satellite programs often receive significant support from national governments and prominent foundations and corporations (both domestic and international). However, due to understandable differences in national cultural and policy contexts, these programs have experienced varying levels of reach, impact, and conflict with the established stakeholders. The degree of adaptation of the model has also varied extensively in response to differing local needs and policy contexts. Through the analyses and case studies contained in this special issue of *Educational Policy Analysis Archives*, the reader is able to gain a deeper understanding of the workings of this specific type of teacher education reform, with authors utilizing contrasting theoretical frameworks and numerous methodological approaches to make sense of both Teach For All's global presence and its localized, national and regional-level impact.

Research on Teach For All and the programs residing under its umbrella is currently extremely scarce (as noted by McConney, Woods-McConney, & Price, 2012), with the exception of evaluations and critiques of Teach For America (see Darling-Hammond et al., 2005; Darling-Hammond, 2009; Decker et al., 2004; Raymond et al., 2001), and to a lesser extent, the United Kingdom's Teach First (Hutchings, Maylor, Mendick, Menter, & Smart, 2006; Muijs, Chapman, Collins, & Armstrong, 2010). In most cases, the literature that does exist consists of large-scale quantitatively-based evaluations of the impact of particular Teach For All programs' teachers on student achievement, conducted by multilateral funding agencies like the Inter-American Development Bank (Alfonso, Santiago & Bassi, 2010) and nationally-centered bodies like the Australian Council for Educational Research (Scott, Weldon, & Dinham, 2010; Weldon, McKenzie, Kleinhenz, & Reid, 2012, 2013) and Ofsted (2008) in the UK.

While the rapid expansion of the network in part explains the relative dearth of literature on the subject, there are numerous aspects of Teach For All's spread and influence that demand further scholarly attention: namely, the considerable funding Teach For All and its partners have gathered both from public and private partners, as well as the ways in which they are influencing public debate and policy around issues of teacher recruitment, retention and certification, the role of unions, learning assessment, and school policy. The articles collected in this special issue represent an initial foray into this essential conversation.

Perhaps the most innovative contribution that can be made to the larger discussion of global education reform through analysis of Teach For All is a deeper understanding of the role of global transnational networks and organizations that are more modest in scope compared to the multilateral organizations (such as the World Bank, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development [OECD], and the Inter-American Development Bank) examined in the extant literature (Philips & Ochs, 2004; Steiner-Khamsi, 2004). Such organizations have exerted influence on educational policy globally primarily through setting conditions for granting aid packages to countries with struggling economies (Jones, 2004), packages which have often encouraged particularly neoliberal or market-oriented approaches to public policy (Arnové, 1983; Carnoy & Rhoten, 2002; Gwynne & Kay, 2000).

While organizations like Teach For All do not wield the same economic leverage, they have also served as mechanisms for the spread of particular models of education reform. Stephen Ball (2012) has provided one construct that could account for such influence in what he calls "Transnational Advocacy Networks," or TANs: groups of (typically private) organizations brought together primarily by their "shared values" (p. 13). With regard to the Teach For All network in particular, Friedrich (2014) has previously built on this model to describe Teach For All's extension through its national-level partners as a form of policy "micro-lending:" that is, helping relatively

small-scale social entrepreneurship efforts throughout the world use parallel methods (as done by Kiva, Grameen or other micro-lending agencies) as a means of targeting global educational needs.

In this special issue, various contributors add to this initial research on Teach For All's influence. In "Teach For All: Storytelling 'Shared Solutions' and Scaling Global Reform," Chloe Ahmann examines Teach For All's use of storytelling to communicate the logic behind its particular "brand" of leadership development: namely, that transformational leaders have particular characteristics in common, that Teach For All's partners have demonstrated the ability to identify and cultivate such leaders in the educational sector, and that such high-impact leadership development is "scalable." Through an analysis of Teach For All promotional materials and the public statements of its leaders (such as Wendy Kopp), Ahmann makes a compelling case for the role of storytelling in Teach For All's spread of its particular organizational model and theory of change.

Belen Cumsille and Ariel Fizbein bring a more targeted, regional focus to the discussion with their article "Crème de la Crème: The *Teach For All* experience and its lessons for policy-making in Latin America." As Latin American scholars themselves, the authors undertake a very practical exercise in this piece, using semi-structured interviews with staff members from various Teach For All affiliates to identify what they see as best practices in teacher education that could improve pedagogical training throughout Latin America. Their findings are contextualized within the larger Latin American literature on teacher education.

Priya Goel La Londe, T. Jameson Brewer and Christopher A. Lubienski further this special issue's critical discussion with "The Proliferation of Teach For America around the Globe: Cloning Corporate Reform Through Teach For All." In this largely theoretical essay, the authors develop their own construct to describe the support structure of Teach For All and similar organizations: namely, what they call global Intermediary Organization Networks (IONs), or the loose conglomerations of foundations, lobbyists, government officials and other actors who share a philosophical orientation towards particular educational reforms.

Finally, in "Making All Children Count: Teach For All and the Universalizing Appeal of Data," Daniel Friedrich, Mia Walter and Erica Colmenares similarly explore the discursive practices represented in the public statements of Teach For All and its affiliates, this time on social media. In particular, Friedrich, Walter and Colmenares discuss how Teach For All and its affiliates use a focus on principles of data collection and data-driven instruction, theorized here as *dataspeak*, to universalize the problems faced by Teach For All partner organizations despite their differences in cultural and social context.

As stated earlier, we hope that these essays serve as a starting point for a larger conversation on the spread of Teach For All and its affiliates and their role in influencing trends in global education reform. We await much more rigorous scholarship focused on this growing phenomenon over the coming years, and hope that the research begun here proves useful in furthering that endeavor.

References

- Alfonso, M., Santiago, A., & Bassi, M. (2010). *Estimating the impact of placing top university graduates in vulnerable schools in Chile*. Washington DC: Inter-American Development Bank.
- Arnové, R. (1983). The Ford Foundation and the transfer of knowledge: Convergence and divergence in the world-system. *Compare*, 13(1), 7-23.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0305792830130102>
- Ball, S. J. (2012). *Global Education, Inc.: New policy networks and the neoliberal imaginary*. Abingdon: Routledge.

- Carnoy, M., & Rhoten, D. (2002). What does globalization mean for educational change? A comparative approach. *Comparative Education Review*, 46(1), 1-9.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/324053>
- Clark, M. A., Chiang, H. S., Silva, T., McConnell, S., Sonnenfeld, K., Erbe, A., & Puma, M. (2013). *The Effectiveness of secondary math teachers from Teach For America and the Teaching Fellows Programs*. NCEE 2013-4015. Washington DC: National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance.
- Darling-Hammond, L. (2009). *Educational opportunity and alternative certification: New evidence and new questions*. Stanford, CA: Stanford Center for Opportunity Policy in Education.
- Darling-Hammond, L., Holtzman, D. J., Gatlin, S. J., & Heilig, J. V. (2005). *Does teacher preparation matter?: Evidence about teacher certification, Teach For America, and teacher effectiveness*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Decker, P. T., Mayer, D. P., & Glazerman, S. (2004). *The Effects of Teach For America on students: Findings from a national evaluation*. Mathematica Policy Research, Inc.
- Friedrich, D. S. (2014). Global microlending in education reform: Enseñá por Argentina and the neoliberalization of the grassroots. *Comparative Education Review*, 58(2), 296–321.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/675412>
- Gwynne, R. N., & Kay, C. (2000). Views from the periphery: Futures of neoliberalism in Latin America. *Third World Quarterly*, 21(1), 141-156. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01436590013279>
- Heilig, J. V., & Jez, S. J. (2010). *Teach For America: A review of the evidence*. Boulder, CO: Education and the Public Interest Center & Education Policy Research Unit. Retrieved January 30, 2015 from <http://nepc.colorado.edu/publication/teach-for-america>
- Heilig, J. V., & Jez, S. J. (2014). *Teach For America: A return to the evidence*. Boulder, CO: National Education Policy Center. Retrieved January 30, 2015 from <http://nepc.colorado.edu/publication/teach-for-america-return>
- Hutchings, M., Maylor, U., Mendick, H., Menter, I., & Smart, S. (2006). *An evaluation of innovative approaches to teacher training on the Teach First programme: Final report to the Training and Development Agency for Schools*. London: Institute for Policy Studies in Education.
- Jones, P. W. (2004). Taking the credit: Financing and policy linkages in the education portfolio of the World Bank. In G. Steiner-Khamsi (Ed.), *The global politics of educational borrowing and lending*, 188-200. New York: Teachers College Press.
- McConney, A., Woods-McConney, A., & Price, A. (2012). *Fast track teacher education: A review of the research literature on Teach For All schemes*. Murdoch, Australia: Murdoch University Centre for Learning, Change and Development.
- Muijs, D., Chapman, C., Collins, A., & Armstrong, P. (2010). *Maximum impact evaluation: The impact of Teach First teachers in schools*. Manchester: University of Manchester.
- Ofsted. (2008). *Rising to the challenge: A review of the Teach First initial teacher training programme*. London: Ofsted.
- Phillips, D., & Ochs, K. (Eds.). (2004). *Educational policy borrowing: Historical perspectives*. Oxford: Symposium Books.
- Raymond, M. E., Fletcher, S. H., & Luque, J. (2001). *Teach For America: An evaluation of teacher differences and student outcomes in Houston, Texas*. Stanford, CA: CREDO.
- Scott, C., Weldon, P., & Dinham, S. (2010). *Teach for Australia pathway: Evaluation report phase 1 of 3*. Camberwell: Australian Council for Educational Research.
- Steiner-Khamsi, G. (Ed.). (2004). *The global politics of educational borrowing*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Waldow, F., Takayama, K., & Sung, Y.-K. (2014). Rethinking the pattern of external policy referencing: Media discourses over the “Asian Tigers” PISA success in Australia, Germany

and South Korea. *Comparative Education*, 1–20.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03050068.2013.860704>

Weldon, P., McKenzie, P., Kleinhenz, E., & Reid, K. (2012). *Teach for Australia pathway: Evaluation report phase 2 of 3*. Camberwell: Australian Council for Educational Research.

Weldon, P., McKenzie, P., Kleinhenz, E., & Reid, K. (2013). *Teach for Australia pathway: Evaluation report phase 3 of 3*. Camberwell: Australian Council for Educational Research.

About the Authors

Rolf Straubhaar

University of Georgia

rolf@uga.edu

Rolf Straubhaar is a postdoctoral research associate at the University of Georgia's Center for Latino Achievement and Success in Education (CLASE). Trained as an anthropologist of educational policy, his research examines the spread, adaptation and implementation of educational policies in Brazil, the United States and Mozambique. His work has been published in the *Comparative Education Review*, *Comparative Education*, *Compare, Education and Urban Society*, the *High School Journal*, and the *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education*, among other sources. His dissertation on the spread of U.S.-based education reforms to Rio de Janeiro was awarded the 2014 Frederick Erickson Outstanding Dissertation Award by the American Anthropological Association's Council on Anthropology and Education.

Daniel Friedrich

Teachers College, Columbia University

friedrich@tc.edu

Daniel Friedrich is an Assistant Professor of Curriculum at Teachers College, Columbia University. His research explores the politics of global teacher education reform, as well as issues of memory, political violence and curriculum. His book *Democratic Education as a Curricular Problem: Historical Consciousness and the Moralizing Limits of the Present* was published by Routledge in 2014. That same year he was awarded the Early Career Scholar Award by the Critical Issues in Curriculum and Cultural Studies Special Interest Group at AERA.

About the Guest Editors

Daniel Friedrich

Teachers College, Columbia University

friedrich@tc.edu

Daniel Friedrich is an Assistant Professor of Curriculum at Teachers College, Columbia University. His research explores the politics of global teacher education reform, as well as issues of memory, political violence and curriculum. His book *Democratic Education as a Curricular Problem: Historical Consciousness and the Moralizing Limits of the Present* was published by Routledge in 2014. That same year he was awarded the Early Career Scholar Award by the Critical Issues in Curriculum and Cultural Studies Special Interest Group at AERA.

Rolf Straubhaar

University of Georgia

rolf@uga.edu

Rolf Straubhaar is a postdoctoral research associate at the University of Georgia's Center for Latino Achievement and Success in Education (CLASE). Trained as an anthropologist of educational policy, his research examines the spread, adaptation and implementation of educational policies in Brazil, the United States and Mozambique. His work has been published in the *Comparative Education Review*, *Comparative Education*, *Compare, Education and Urban Society*, the *High School Journal*, and the *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education*, among other

sources. His dissertation on the spread of U.S.-based education reforms to Rio de Janeiro was awarded the 2014 Frederick Erickson Outstanding Dissertation Award by the American Anthropological Association's Council on Anthropology and Education.

SPECIAL ISSUE
Teach For All and Global Teacher Education Reform

education policy analysis archives

Volume 23 Number 44

April 20th, 2015

ISSN 1068-2341



Readers are free to copy, display, and distribute this article, as long as the work is attributed to the author(s) and **Education Policy Analysis Archives**, it is distributed for non-commercial purposes only, and no alteration or transformation is made in the work. More details of this Creative Commons license are available at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/>. All other uses must be approved by the author(s) or **EPAA**. **EPAA** is published by the Mary Lou Fulton Institute and Graduate School of Education at Arizona State University. Articles are indexed in CIRC (Clasificación Integrada de Revistas Científicas, Spain), DIALNET (Spain), [Directory of Open Access Journals](#), EBSCO Education Research Complete, ERIC, Education Full Text (H.W. Wilson), QUALIS A2 (Brazil), SCImago Journal Rank; SCOPUS, SOCOLAR (China).

Please contribute commentaries at <http://epaa.info/wordpress/> and send errata notes to Gustavo E. Fischman fischman@asu.edu

Join **EPAA's Facebook community** at <https://www.facebook.com/EPAAAPE> and **Twitter feed** @epaa_aape.

education policy analysis archives
editorial board

Editor **Gustavo E. Fischman** (Arizona State University)

Associate Editors: **Audrey Amrein-Beardsley** (Arizona State University), **Jeanne M. Powers** (Arizona State University)

Jessica Allen University of Colorado, Boulder

Gary Anderson New York University

Michael W. Apple University of Wisconsin,
Madison

Angela Arzubiaga Arizona State University

David C. Berliner Arizona State University

Robert Bickel Marshall University

Henry Braun Boston College

Eric Camburn University of Wisconsin, Madison

Wendy C. Chi Jefferson County Public Schools in
Golden, Colorado

Casey Cobb University of Connecticut

Arnold Danzig California State University, San
Jose

Antonia Darder Loyola Marymount University

Linda Darling-Hammond Stanford University

Chad d'Entremont Rennie Center for Education
Research and Policy

John Diamond Harvard University

Tara Donahue McREL International

Sherman Dorn Arizona State University

Christopher Joseph Frey Bowling Green State
University

Melissa Lynn Freeman Adams State College

Amy Garrett Dikkers University of North
Carolina Wilmington

Gene V Glass Arizona State University

Ronald Glass University of California, Santa Cruz

Harvey Goldstein University of Bristol

Jacob P. K. Gross University of Louisville

Eric M. Haas WestEd

Kimberly Joy Howard University of Southern
California

Aimee Howley Ohio University

Craig Howley Ohio University

Steve Klees University of Maryland

Jaekyung Lee SUNY Buffalo

Christopher Lubienski University of Illinois,
Urbana-Champaign

Sarah Lubienski University of Illinois, Urbana-
Champaign

Samuel R. Lucas University of California, Berkeley

Maria Martinez-Coslo University of Texas,
Arlington

William Mathis University of Colorado, Boulder

Tristan McCowan Institute of Education, London

Michele S. Moses University of Colorado, Boulder

Julianne Moss Deakin University

Sharon Nichols University of Texas, San Antonio

Noga O'Connor University of Iowa

João Paraskveva University of Massachusetts,
Dartmouth

Laurence Parker University of Utah

Susan L. Robertson Bristol University

John Rogers University of California, Los Angeles

A. G. Rud Washington State University

Felicia C. Sanders Institute of Education Sciences

Janelle Scott University of California, Berkeley

Kimberly Scott Arizona State University

Dorothy Shipps Baruch College/CUNY

Maria Teresa Tatto Michigan State University

Larisa Warhol Arizona State University

Cally Waite Social Science Research Council

John Weathers University of Colorado, Colorado
Springs

Kevin Welner University of Colorado, Boulder

Ed Wiley University of Colorado, Boulder

Terrence G. Wiley Center for Applied Linguistics

John Willinsky Stanford University

Kyo Yamashiro Los Angeles Education Research
Institute

archivos analíticos de políticas educativas
consejo editorial

Editores: **Gustavo E. Fischman** (Arizona State University), **Jason Beech** (Universidad de San Andrés), **Alejandro Canales** (UNAM) y **Jesús Romero Morante** (Universidad de Cantabria)

Armando Alcántara Santuario IISUE, UNAM
México

Claudio Almonacid University of Santiago, Chile

Pilar Arnaiz Sánchez Universidad de Murcia,
España

Xavier Besalú Costa Universitat de Girona,
España

Jose Joaquín Brunner Universidad Diego Portales,
Chile

Damián Canales Sánchez Instituto Nacional para
la Evaluación de la Educación, México

María Caridad García Universidad Católica del
Norte, Chile

Raimundo Cuesta Fernández IES Fray Luis de
León, España

Marco Antonio Delgado Fuentes Universidad
Iberoamericana, México

Inés Dussel DIE-CINVESTAV,
Mexico

Rafael Feito Alonso Universidad Complutense de
Madrid, España

Pedro Flores Crespo Universidad Iberoamericana,
México

Verónica García Martínez Universidad Juárez
Autónoma de Tabasco, México

Francisco F. García Pérez Universidad de Sevilla,
España

Edna Luna Serrano Universidad Autónoma de
Baja California, México

Alma Maldonado DIE-CINVESTAV
México

Alejandro Márquez Jiménez IISUE, UNAM
México

Jaume Martínez Bonafé, Universitat de València,
España

José Felipe Martínez Fernández University of
California Los Angeles, Estados Unidos

Fanni Muñoz Pontificia Universidad Católica de
Perú,

Imanol Ordorika Instituto de Investigaciones
Economicas – UNAM, México

Maria Cristina Parra Sandoval Universidad de
Zulia, Venezuela

Miguel A. Pereyra Universidad de Granada,
España

Monica Pini Universidad Nacional de San Martín,
Argentina

Paula Razquin Universidad de San Andrés,
Argentina

Ignacio Rivas Flores Universidad de Málaga,
España

Daniel Schugurensky Arizona State University,
Estados Unidos

Orlando Pulido Chaves Instituto para la
Investigación Educativa y el Desarrollo
Pedagógico IDEP

José Gregorio Rodríguez Universidad Nacional de
Colombia

Miriam Rodríguez Vargas Universidad
Autónoma de Tamaulipas, México

Mario Rueda Beltrán IISUE, UNAM
México

José Luis San Fabián Maroto Universidad de
Oviedo, España

Yengny Marisol Silva Laya Universidad
Iberoamericana, México

Aida Terrón Bañuelos Universidad de Oviedo,
España

Jurjo Torres Santomé Universidad de la Coruña,
España

Antoni Verger Planells University of Barcelona,
España

Mario Yapu Universidad Para la Investigación
Estratégica, Bolivia

arquivos analíticos de políticas educativas
conselho editorial

Editor: **Gustavo E. Fischman** (Arizona State University)
Editores Associados: **Rosa Maria Bueno Fisher** e **Luis A. Gandin**
(Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul)

Dalila Andrade de Oliveira Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Brasil

Paulo Carrano Universidade Federal Fluminense, Brasil

Alicia Maria Catalano de Bonamino Pontifícia Universidade Católica-Rio, Brasil

Fabiana de Amorim Marcello Universidade Luterana do Brasil, Canoas, Brasil

Alexandre Fernandez Vaz Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Brasil

Gaudêncio Frigotto Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Brasil

Alfredo M Gomes Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Brasil

Petronilha Beatriz Gonçalves e Silva Universidade Federal de São Carlos, Brasil

Nadja Herman Pontifícia Universidade Católica – Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil

José Machado Pais Instituto de Ciências Sociais da Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal

Wenceslao Machado de Oliveira Jr. Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Brasil

Jefferson Mainardes Universidade Estadual de Ponta Grossa, Brasil

Luciano Mendes de Faria Filho Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Brasil

Lia Raquel Moreira Oliveira Universidade do Minho, Portugal

Belmira Oliveira Bueno Universidade de São Paulo, Brasil

António Teodoro Universidade Lusófona, Portugal

Pia L. Wong California State University Sacramento, U.S.A

Sandra Regina Sales Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro, Brasil

Elba Siqueira Sá Barreto Fundação Carlos Chagas, Brasil

Manuela Terrasêca Universidade do Porto, Portugal

Robert Verhine Universidade Federal da Bahia, Brasil

Antônio A. S. Zuin University of York