Education Policy Analysis Archives

Volume 10 Number 9

January 28, 2002

ISSN 1068-2341

A peer-reviewed scholarly journal

Editor: Gene V Glass

College of Education

Arizona State University

Copyright 2002, the EDUCATION POLICY ANALYSIS ARCHIVES.

Permission is hereby granted to copy any article if **EPAA** is credited and copies are not sold.

Articles appearing in **EPAA** are abstracted in the *Current Index to Journals in Education* by the ERIC Clearinghouse on Assessment and Evaluation and are permanently archived in *Resources in Education*.

Confusing the Messenger with the Message: A Response to Bolon

Victor L. Willson Texas A&M University

Thomas Kellow University of Houston

Citation: Willson, V.L. & Kellow, T. (2002, January 28). Confusing the messenger with the message: A response to Bolon. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 10(9). Retrieved [date] from http://epaa.asu.edu/epaa/v10n9/.

Abstract

The conclusions by Bolon (2001) based on the relationship between per capita income and school mean grade 10 mathematics scores in Massachusetts and on instability in year-to-year mean school scores are criticized by us. Our concerns focus on the uninterpretable covariation of economic condition with test performance and the limitations in interpreting cross-time variability. We agree with Bolon's conclusions but consider the methodology employed inadequate to support them. We suggest alternative requirements and discuss our own previous efforts in this area.

In an analysis of the Massachusetts graduation examination, Bolon (2001) examined the aggregate grade 10 mathematics test scores for 47 high schools and the demographic characteristics of the communities in which they were situated. From several data analyses, Bolon determined that since the best single predictor of mean high school score was community per capita income,

"The state is treating scores and ratings as though they were precise educational measures of high significance. A review of thenth-grade mathematics test scores from academic high schools in metropolitan Boston showed that statistically they are not."

Further, when removing the variability due to per capita income,

"Large uncertainties in residuals of school-averaged scores, after subtracting predictions based on community income, tend to make the scores ineffective for rating performance of schools. Large uncertainties in year-to-year score changes tend to make the score changes ineffective for measureing performance trends."

While we agree with Bolon's concerns, on the whole, we find little support in the evidence he presents to support them. Our discussion below details our concerns.

Predicting aggregate test scores

One of the problems with regression analysis is that without reasonable theoretical support, all sorts of predictors can be found that produce high correlation. In examining aggregate scores, such as high school test means, it is no secret that for many decades, as Bolon himself pointed out (Bolon, 2000), achievement has been associated with socioeconomic conditions in communities. In earlier eras, when school spending was much more unequal, these differences were more indicative of opportunity to learn for students. In a judicial climate that has tended to minimize, although not eliminate such disparities, it is much less persuasive, although it remains an important area for study.

The difficulty with using a community aggregate measure as a predictor is that it is a surrogate for many other indicators, some of which are absurd at face value but interpretable. Variables such as driver's-license passing rate or per capita champagne consumption may predict student achievement as well as community per capita income. We can construct meaningful arguments why they might. For none is the test invalidated using accepted standards (American Educational Research Association, American Psychological Association, and National Council on Measurement in Education, 1999).

In other areas of research such aggregation has produced fundamentally misleading conclusions. For example, the literature on intelligence and income is directly parallel to the discussion here. White (1982) demonstrated the difference between using an aggregate measure of SES (school or community) and individual measure in relating SES to intellectual functioning. Since Bolon used school as his unit of analysis, he eliminated proximate measures more appropriate to his analysis. The school-level variables Bolon eliminated are more appropriate than community per capita income on

Copyright 2002 by the Education Policy Analysis Archives

The World Wide Web address for the Education Policy Analysis Archives is epaa.asu.edu

General questions about appropriateness of topics or particular articles may be addressed to the Editor, Gene V Glass, glass@asu.edu or reach him at College of Education, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287-2411. The Commentary Editor is Casey D. Cobb: casey.cobb@unh.edu.

EPAA Editorial Board

Michael W. Apple Greg Camilli University of Wisconsin Rutgers University

John Covaleskie Alan Davis

Northern Michigan University University of Colorado, Denver

Sherman Dorn Mark E. Fetler

University of South Florida California Commission on Teacher Credentialing

Richard Garlikov Thomas F. Green hmwkhelp@scott.net Syracuse University

Alison I. Griffith Arlen Gullickson

York University Western Michigan University

Ernest R. House Aimee Howley
University of Colorado Ohio University
Craig B. Howley William Hunter

Appalachia Educational Laboratory University of Calgary

Daniel Kallós Benjamin Levin

Umeå University University of Manitoba

Thomas Mauhs-Pugh Dewayne Matthews

Green Mountain College Education Commission of the States

William McInerney Mary McKeown-Moak
Purdue University MGT of America (Austin, TX)

Les McLean Susan Bobbitt Nolen
University of Toronto University of Washington

Anne L. Pemberton Hugh G. Petrie apembert@pen.k12.va.us SUNY Buffalo

Richard C. Richardson

New York University

Anthony G. Rud Jr.

Purdue University

Dennis Sayers Jay D. Scribner

California State University—Stanislaus University of Texas at Austin

Michael Scriven Robert E. Stake

scriven@aol.com University of Illinois—UC
Robert Stonehill David D. Williams

U.S. Department of Education Brigham Young University

EPAA Spanish Language Editorial Board

Associate Editor for Spanish Language Roberto Rodríguez Gómez Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

roberto@servidor.unam.mx

Adrián Acosta (México)

Universidad de Guadalajara adrianacosta@compuserve.com

Teresa Bracho (México)

Centro de Investigación y Docencia Económica-CIDE bracho dis1.cide.mx

Ursula Casanova (U.S.A.)

Arizona State University casanova@asu.edu

Erwin Epstein (U.S.A.)

Loyola University of Chicago Eepstein@luc.edu

Rollin Kent (México)

Departamento de Investigación Educativa-DIE/CINVESTAV rkent@gemtel.com.mx kentr@data.net.mx

Javier Mendoza Rojas (México)

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

javiermr@servidor.unam.mx

Humberto Muñoz García (México)

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

humberto@servidor.unam.mx

Daniel Schugurensky

(Argentina-Canadá) OISE/UT, Canada

dschugurensky@oise.utoronto.ca

Jurjo Torres Santomé (Spain)

Universidad de A Coruña jurjo@udc.es

J. Félix Angulo Rasco (Spain)

Universidad de Cádiz felix.angulo@uca.es

Alejandro Canales (México)

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

canalesa@servidor.unam.mx

José Contreras Domingo

Universitat de Barcelona Jose.Contreras@doe.d5.ub.es

Josué González (U.S.A.)

Arizona State University josue@asu.edu

María Beatriz Luce (Brazil)

Universidad Federal de Rio Grande do Sul-UFRGS lucemb@orion.ufrgs.br

Marcela Mollis (Argentina)

Universidad de Buenos Aires mmollis@filo.uba.ar

Angel Ignacio Pérez Gómez (Spain)

Universidad de Málaga aiperez@uma.es

Simon Schwartzman (Brazil)

Fundação Instituto Brasileiro e Geografia e Estatística simon@openlink.com.br

Carlos Alberto Torres (U.S.A.)

University of California, Los Angeles torres@gseisucla.edu